IN TH	E DISTRICT COURT OF	COUNTY, KANSAS
IN THE INTEI	REST OF:	
Name		Case No
	A □ male □ female	
	NOTICE OF HEARI Pursuant to K.S.A	
TO:		
and all o	ther persons who are or may be con-	cerned
named above is a conduct or cond condition is unli terminated, and A hearing and control of the parental rights a The pare Court on the dat the District Coushown will not put the child is a Chother present legentering an orde An atterneting an orde You have the right attorney. The financially unab	a Child in Need of Care. The Court in ition which renders the parents unal kely to change in the foreseeable fut a permanent custodian should be ap g on the petition is scheduled for the .m. p.m. At the hearing the Court he child. The hearing will determine the right to custody of the child. Int(s), and any other person having be and time shown, or to file your writt prior to that time. Failure to responsive the Court from entering judge ild in Need of Care, removing the chigal custodian until further order of the permanently terminating the parent permanently to appear before the Court and becourt will appoint an attorney for the to hire one. The Court may order	day of
Data and time at	C1	
Date and time of	nearing:	,, at □ a.m. □ p.m.
Place of hearing	:	
		Clerk/Judge of the District Court

## Authority

K.S.A. 38-2237 as amended by 2007 SB 269.

## Notes on Use

This form may be used when the court has determined that service shall be made by publication. If the court finds that there is a substantial certainty that a parent currently resides in a particular locality, then publication shall be in that locality in addition to publication in the county where the matter is on file.

## Comments

The statute does not provide for service on counsel of record in lieu of parent. (Parents are denied due process rights by notice defects.) *In re H.C.*, 23 Kan. App. 2d 955, 939 P.2d 937 (1997).

*In re H.C.*, 23 Kan. App. 2d 955, 939 P.2d 937 (1997).

Given the facts of this case, short notice prior to hearing when mother is served by publication does not prejudice mother. *In re J.H.*, 25 Kan. App. 2d 372, 962 P.2d 1127 (1998).