	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF	COUNTY, KANSAS
IN T	HE INTEREST OF	
Nam	e	Case No.
	of Birth A \(\square \) male \(\square \)	□ female
	*INDIAN CHILD	
	QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL TREAT PERMANENCY HEARING ORDE	
	ON THE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD H	
	PERMANENT LIVIN	
	(ONLY USE FOR CHILDREN 16 Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2203(a), 38-2264, 42 CRB report mu	5 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER) 2 U.S.C. 671 and 25 U.S.C. § 1901 <i>et seq</i> .
(0	Orders pertaining to more than one child must include	
	NOW on this day of ers come on for consideration of the mmendations, which are attached.	, 20, the above-captioned Citizen Review Board permanency hearing
	The child is 14 years of age or older and the permanency hearing.	has been given notice of the time and place of
	The Court finds that □ each child named	l above or □ the child
	Indian child as defined by the Indian Chil wing reason to know the child is an Indian	d Welfare Act (ICWA) □ the Court has the child:
as rec	es of the petition, reports, other information quired by ICWA have been timely provided rtunity to participate in this proceeding.	E
A	request to transfer of jurisdiction to the Trib ☐ has not been made. ☐ was made on	
	□ was made on by and the transfer of jurisdiction was	declined by the Tribe.
	□ was made on	_ by
	and the transfer of jurisdiction was	
	ine following parent(s) obje	ct(s) to the transfer:

			□ see	findings	of fact and	conclusi	ion of law	in the cou	rt's order filed
	1	□ wa the atta	s made transfe ached C	on er to Order Trans	sferring Jurisc	by	Form 214).	w	and and areas granted. See
THE	COUR	T FIN	NDS:						
1.	The C	Court	finds t	hat termina	ution/relinquis	hment of	f all parenta	al rights occu	rred on
2.		a.			olic or privat urrent permar	•			able efforts to
					O	R			
		b.			lic or private urrent permar	_			nable efforts to anency plan.
3.	The	pro	ogress	toward	achieving	the	•	ncy plan s □ is not ad	• ,
4.					are not bein		•	. •	s needs are no
5.	The 1	easor	nable ar	nd prudent	parenting star	ndard 🗆 1	has been □	has not bee	n met

The at	ove name	ed child □ shall be □ shall remain placed in the custody of:			
	extended family. (Complete the placement section below.)				
	approved or specified by the Tribe with close emotional ties to the child. (Complete the placement section below.)				
		, a youth residential or facility approved or specified by the Tribe or operated by an Indian ation. (Complete the placement section below.)			
	if the ch	retary, if the child is 15 years of age or younger, or 16 or 17 years of age ild has no identifiable parental or family resources or shows signs of , mental or emotional or sexual abuse. (Complete the placement section			
preferei there is	alikely that ince category good cause is not in (1) The	the placement of the child in a QRTP will fall within the ICWA or Tribe's placement ries. Therefore, this form assumes the court must make the findings regarding whether the child not to be placed within the placement preferences.) a preferred placement (complete all subsections) child is placed, a qualified residential nt program.			
	that from	court, after considering evidence and arguments from all parties, finds there is clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to deviate a the placement preferences based on one or more of the following siderations:			
		The request of one or both of the Indian child's parents, if they attest that they have reviewed the placement options, if any, that comply with the order of preference.			
		The request of the child, if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to understand the decision that is being made.			
		The presence of a sibling attachment that can be maintained only through a particular placement.			
		The extraordinary physical, mental, or emotional needs of the Indian child, such as specialized treatment services that may be unavailable in			

	the community where families who meet the placement preferences live.
	The unavailability of a suitable placement after a determination by the court that a diligent search was conducted to find suitable placements meeting the preference criteria, but none has been located.
(Specific f	findings of fact must be written here)
(3) □ a.	The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child continues to support that the needs of the child cannot be met through placement in a foster family home; placement in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment; and the placement is consistent with the short-term and long-term goals for the child, as specified in the permanency plan for the child.
	i. Treatment or service needs are being or will be met in the qualified residential treatment program. The child is expected to need the treatment or services for more months.
	ii. The Secretary has made the following efforts to prepare the child to be placed in a family home setting (return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian, or an adoptive parent, or in a foster home):
OR	
OK	
□ b.	The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child does not support continued placement in a qualified residential treatment program.
illing relativ	ds that at this time the child cannot return home, be placed with a fit and we, a legal guardian or an adoptive parent because permanency efforts successful. (<i>Identify unsuccessful efforts</i>)

8.

9.	☐ The Court finds the permanency plan to be another planned permanent arrangement. It continues to not be in the best interest of the child to return h be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian or an adoptive parent the following compelling reasons:						
10.		The court finds that the Secretary has made the following efforts to help the child prepare for the transition from custody to a successful adulthood. (<i>Identify</i>)					
11.		The child's was provided the opportunity to provide input on the preferred permanency outcome.					
12.	The Court, having reviewed the file and recommendations of the Citizen Review Boa □ approves and adopts the proposed permanency plan as the plan for permanency in the present matter or □ does not approve the proposed permanency plan arorders a new permanency plan submitted to the Court within 30 days.						
13.	Th	e previous orders of this Court \square shall continue in full force and effect \square except as reby modified \square are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued resuant to K.S.A. 38-2255.					
	TH	IE COURT FURTHER FINDS:					
-	are	TE COURT ORDERS that the following CRB recommendations, set out in the attached adopted as the order of the Court: (List the adopted recommendations in full or by the orresponding to those in the report.)					

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS all providers of services including educational services, treatment, education or care of the child and family, even if not specifically referred to herein, to provide information including any and all educational records to the secretary, any entity providing services to the child and family, counsel for the parties including the county or district attorney, appointed CASA, Citizen Review Board members, the court, and each other to the extent needed to ensure the safety of the child, prevent further abuse or neglect, and to provide appropriate treatment, care and services to the child and family. This order encompasses

Act of 1996 (HIPAA), 45 C.F.R. 164.512(e)(1).		
☐ The Secretary ☐ Court Services ☐		shall
complete reports and submit them to the Court by		
THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS this matter set for		_hearing
before \Box the Court \Box the CRB on the day of	, 20	, at
: a.m. □ p.m.		
IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of, 20_	·	

and complies with the provisions of the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (20 U.S.C. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. 99 and the Privacy Rule of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability

Authority

K.S.A. 38-2203(a), 38-2264, 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq, and 25 C.F.R. 23.

Notes on Use

This is the form for use when a Citizen Review Board has conducted a permanency hearing when Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA) is designated as the child's permanency plan and at the time of the hearing, the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program (QRTP). The CRB report, with recommendations, must be attached.

Supreme Court Rule 174 requires the use of this form or another form approved by the Supreme Court as meeting Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) requirements. "An additional order or supplemental affidavit may be attached to a form." Kansas Supreme Court Rule 174(b). Failure to make and properly document the findings required by ASFA will result in the loss of federal funding. Federal funding is not available when the court finds reasonable efforts have not been made unless the court also finds the efforts were not required. The loss of federal funding continues until the court finds reasonable efforts have been made and the court's findings are properly documented.

Timing of permanency hearing

After termination of parental rights, permanency hearings continue to be required at least every 12 months from the date the child first entered out of home placement. Termination of parental rights does not change the requirement for permanency hearings, and they shall continue until the child is adopted, a permanent custodian is appointed or jurisdiction is terminated. During the permanency hearing the court shall consider whether reasonable efforts have been made to achieve the case plan goals. If the court determines that reasonable efforts have not been made or progress is not sufficient, the court may rescind its prior orders and enter other orders regarding custody and adoption that are appropriate under the circumstances. K.S.A. 38-2264(h).

<u>Notice</u>

Notice of a permanency hearing is dictated by K.S.A. 38-2265. If the permanency hearing is for a child 14 years of age or older, the court shall require notice of the time and place of the permanency hearing. The notice shall request the child's participation in the hearing by attendance or by report to the court. A sample report from may be obtained on the Kansas Judicial Council website or through the Office of Judicial Administration.

<u>Identifying the Tribe(s)</u>

The court must determine whether the child's Tribe has been identified and whether the Tribe has been afforded a full opportunity to participate in the proceedings. If so, the court must determine whether the agency provided the child's Tribe with copies of the petition, reports, and

information concerning the child in a timely manner. 25 U.S.C. 1911(c) & (d); 25 U.S.C. 1912(a).

Prior Termination of Parental Rights

The date of termination or relinquishment of parental rights should be recited for each child and parent as set out in the form. If the parent of an Indian child voluntary relinquishes parental rights or consents to an adoption, 25 U.S.C. 1913 provides the parent may withdraw the relinquishment or consent for any reason prior to a final decree of termination or adoption.

In the court's termination of parental rights order, the court found that active efforts had failed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family and that the continued custody of the child by the parent or Indian custodian is likely to result in serious emotional of physical damage to the child. Therefore, it is unnecessary for the court to make those findings again at this permanency hearing. BIA Guidelines for Implementing the Indian Child Welfare Act, December 2016, Section E.5.

If the court finds that (1) appropriate public or private agencies have not made reasonable efforts to assist and support the family to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan, (2) the reasonable and prudent parenting standard has not been met, or (3) the child does not have regular, ongoing opportunities to engage in age or developmentally appropriate activities, then the court will hold another permanency hearing no later than 60 days following the finding. K.S.A. 38-2264(f).

Preferred Placement

The Court must document where the child is placed. An Indian child must be placed in the least-restrictive setting that: (1) most approximates a family, taking into consideration sibling attachment; (2) allows the Indian child's special needs (if any) to be met; and (3) is in reasonable proximity to the Indian child's home, extended family, or siblings. 25 C.F.R. 23.131(a). Unless the child's Tribe has established a different order of preference, preference to placement of the child with the following people must be given, in descending order as listed below:

- (1) A member of the Indian child's extended family;
- (2) A foster home that is licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's Tribe;
- (3) An Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; or
- (4) An institution for children approved by an Indian Tribe or operated by an Indian organization which has a program suitable to meet the child's needs. 25 U.S.C. 1915; 25 C.F.R. 23.131(b).

The court must, where appropriate, also consider the preference of the Indian child or the Indian child's parent. 25 C.F.R. 23.131(d).

Because the child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program is unlikely to fall within any of the ICWA or Tribe's preferred placement categories, this form assumes the

Court will make findings about whether there is good cause to deviate from the placement preferences. The Court must also make all the required findings about the QRTP placement.

If a party argues there is good cause to deviate from the placement preferences, the court must allow for all parties to provide evidence and make arguments to the court regarding whether there is good cause to deviate. The court must make findings on the record or in writing about whether the party seeking the departure from the placement preferences has proven this through clear and convincing evidence. 25 C.F.R. 23.132(b). The court's finding of good cause should be based on one or more of the following considerations:

- (1) The request of one or both of the Indian child's parents, if they attest that they have reviewed the placement options, if any, that comply with the order of preference;
- (2) The request of the child, if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to understand the decision that is being made;
- (3) The presence of a sibling attachment that can be maintained only through a particular placement;
- (4) The extraordinary physical, mental, or emotional needs of the Indian child, such as specialized treatment services that may be unavailable in the community where families who meet the placement preferences live; and/or
- (5) The unavailability of a suitable placement after a determination by the court that a diligent search was conducted to find suitable placements meeting the preference criteria, but none have been located. The standard for determining whether a placement is unavailable must conform to the prevailing social and cultural standards of the Indian community in which the Indian child's parent or extended family resides or with which the parents or extended family maintains social and cultural ties. 25 C.F.R. 23.132(c).

A placement may not depart from the preferences based on socioeconomic statutes of any placement relative to another placement; or based solely on ordinary bonding or attachment that flowed from time spent in a non-preferred placement that was made in violation of ICWA. 25 C.F.R. 23.132(d) and (e). The court should make detailed findings as to whether the agency has made an ongoing, diligent search to locate extended family, a tribal member, or other Indian family for placement if the child is not already with a preferred placement pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 1915(b); 25 C.F.R. 23.130 and 23.131.

If the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program (QRTP) at the time of the permanency hearing, the court must make specialized findings. The court must first determine whether the ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child does or does not support the determination that the child's needs cannot be met through placement in a foster family home. If the court determines that the child's needs cannot be met through placement in a foster home, then the court must determine: whether that placement in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and whether the placement is consistent with the short-term and long-term goals for the child, as specified in the permanency plan for the child. The court must also make specific findings of fact regarding: the specific treatment or service needs that will be met for the child in the QRTP placement; the length of time the child is expected to need the treatment or services; and the efforts made by the secretary to prepare the child to return home or

to be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian, or an adoptive parent, or in a foster family home.

Compliance with ICWA is jurisdictional. Failure to comply with ICWA may render orders devoid of authority.