IN THE DISTR	ICT COURT OF	COUNTY, KANSAS
IN THE INTEREST OI	र	
Name		Case No.
Year of Birth	A □ male □ fer	male
		LFARE ACT NT PROGRAM PLACEMENT ON THE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD
	MENDATIONS HEARING	
Pursuant to K.S.A.	38-2203(a), 38-2264, 42 U.S CRB report must be	.C. 671 and 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq.
	consideration of the Citiz	en Review Board permanency hearing
	NDS jurisdiction and venue I to receive notice has been gi	are proper. Notice to parties, interested ven as required by law.
☐ The child is 14 ye the permanency h	_	been given notice of the time and place of
The Court finds the	hat $\square$ each child named abo	ve or 🗆 the child
is an Indian child as def		elfare Act (ICWA) 🗆 the Court has the
as required by ICWA hav		erning the child, and notice of this hearing e Tribe. The Tribe has been given a full
A request to transfer on □ has not be	of jurisdiction to the Tribe: en made.	
□ was made	on by	
	insfer of jurisdiction was decl	
⊔ was mau and the tra	e on by insfer of jurisdiction was deni	ed by the Court because:
		to the transfer:
		Il parties, the Court finds good cause exists

	exists.)
	see findings of fact and conclusion of law in the court's order filed
	was made on by and the transfer to was granted. See attached Order Transferring Jurisdiction (Form 214).
THE	COURT FINDS:
1.	The Court finds that termination/relinquishment of all parental rights occurred on
2.	a. Appropriate public or private agencies have made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan.
	OR
	b. Appropriate public or private agencies have not made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan.
3.	The progress of the parents or child to achieve the permanency plan goal(s) of is not adequate.
4.	The child's needs $\square$ are $\square$ are not being adequately met. (If the child's needs are not being met, explain.)
5.	The reasonable and prudent parenting standard □ has been □ has not been met
6.	The child $\square$ has had $\square$ has not had regular on-going opportunities to engage in age or developmentally appropriate activities.
7. <b>C</b>	Custody  The above named child □ shall be □ shall remain placed in the custody of:  □ . a member of the child's

		, an unlicensed person
		or specified by the Tribe with close emotional ties to the child. te the placement section below.)
		, a youth residential or cility approved or specified by the Tribe or operated by an Indian
	organizat	ion. (Complete the placement section below.)
	if the chil	etary, if the child is 15 years of age or younger, or 16 or 17 years of age ld has no identifiable parental or family resources or shows signs of mental or emotional or sexual abuse. (Complete the placement section
preference c	y that the pla categories. Th	acement of the child in a QRTP will fall within the ICWA or Tribe's placement therefore, this form assumes the court must make the findings regarding whether there ld not to be placed within the placement preferences.)
Child	(1) The cl	hild is placed, a qualified residential nent program.
	that th	ourt, after considering evidence and arguments from all parties, finds here is clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to deviate the placement preferences based on one or more of the following derations:
		The request of one or both of the Indian child's parents, if they attest that they have reviewed the placement options, if any, that comply with the order of preference.
		The request of the child, if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to understand the decision that is being made.
		The presence of a sibling attachment that can be maintained only through a particular placement.
		The extraordinary physical, mental, or emotional needs of the Indian child, such as specialized treatment services that may be unavailable in the community where families who meet the placement preferences live.
		The unavailability of a suitable placement after a determination by the court that a diligent search was conducted to find suitable placements meeting the preference criteria, but none has been located.

		(3) □ a. The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child <b>continues to support</b> that the needs of the child cannot be met through placement in a foster family home; placement in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment; and the placement is consistent with the short-term and long-term goals for the child, as specified in the permanency plan for the child.
		<ol> <li>Treatment or service needs are being or will be met in the qualified residential treatment program. The child is expected to need the treatment or services for more months.</li> </ol>
		ii. The Secretary has made the following efforts to prepare the child to be placed in a family home setting (return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian, or an adoptive parent, or in a foster home):
		OR
		□ b. The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child <b>does not support</b> continued placement in a qualified residential treatment program.
8.		The child is 14 years of age or older and the court finds the following efforts have been made by the Secretary to help the child prepare for the transition from custody to a successful adulthood. ( <i>Identify</i> )
9.	□ ap in the	Court, having reviewed the file and recommendations of the Citizen Review Board proves and adopts the proposed permanency plan as the plan for permanency e present matter or   does not approve the proposed permanency plan and as a new permanency plan submitted to the Court within 30 days.

10.	The previous orders of this Court $\square$ shall continue in full force and effect $\square$ except as hereby modified $\square$ are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2255.				
THE	ΓΗΕ COURT FURTHER FINDS:				
	THE COURT ORDERS that the following CRB recommendations, set out in the attached t, are adopted as the order of the Court: (List the adopted recommendations in full or by the ers corresponding to those in the report.)				
herein entity district the e provi and c 1232	THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS all providers of services including educational ces, treatment, education or care of the child and family, even if not specifically referred to a, to provide information including any and all educational records to the secretary, any providing services to the child and family, counsel for the parties including the county or country appointed CASA, Citizen Review Board members, the court, and each other to extent needed to ensure the safety of the child, prevent further abuse or neglect, and to de appropriate treatment, care and services to the child and family. This order encompasses omplies with the provisions of the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (20 U.S.C. g; 34 C.F.R. 99 and the Privacy Rule of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability ff 1996 (HIPAA), 45 C.F.R. 164.512(e)(1).				
	☐ The Secretary ☐ Court Services ☐shall				
comp	lete reports and submit them to the Court by				
befor	THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS this matter set forhearing e □ the Court □ the CRB on the day of, 20, at : □ a.m. □ p.m.				
	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS, 20				