IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF COUNTY, KANSAS IN THE INTEREST OF Case No. Year of Birth _____ A □ male □ female *INDIAN CHILD WELFARE ACT QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT PROGRAM PLACEMENT PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER FOR CHILD IN NEED OF CARE **POST-TERMINATION** Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2203(a), 38-2264, 42 U.S.C. 671 et seq. and 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq. (Orders pertaining to more than one child must include findings specific to each child listed in the caption.) NOW on this _____ day of _____, 20_____, the above-captioned matters come before the Court to determine progress being made to achieve the current permanency plan goal(s) of ______. THE COURT FINDS jurisdiction and venue are proper. Notice to parties, interested parties and those required to receive notice has been given as required by law. The child is 14 years of age or older and has been given notice of the time and place of the permanency hearing. The Court finds that □ each child named above or □ the child _____ is an Indian child as defined by the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) \(\sigma\) the Court has the following reason to know the child is an Indian child: Copies of the petition, reports, other information concerning the child, and notice of this hearing as required by ICWA have been timely provided to the Tribe. The Tribe has been given a full opportunity to participate in this proceeding. The petitioner appears by _____ \square County/District Attorney or designee \square other . The child appears \square in person, and \square not in person, but by the child guardian *ad litem*, The _____Tribe \square appears by ______, П attorney/representative or \square does not appear.

	Interested parties appearing are:
	The Secretary appears through:
	Also present:
	The Tribe □ appears by, attorney/representative or □ does not appear.
The	Court has received and considered evidence.
1.	□ A request to transfer of jurisdiction to the Tribe: □ has not been made. □ was made on by and the transfer of jurisdiction was declined by the Tribe. □ was made on by and the transfer of jurisdiction was denied by the Court because: □ the following parent(s) object(s) to the transfer:
	see findings of fact and conclusion of law in the court's order filed. was made on by and the transfer to was granted. See attached Order Transferring Jurisdiction (Form 214).
2.	Termination/relinquishment of parental rights occurred on
3.	a. Appropriate public or private agencies have made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan. OR

	b. Appropriate public or private agencies have not made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan.						
The pi	The progress toward achieving the permanency plan goal(s) of						
	\square is \square is not adequate.						
	hild's needs \square are \square are not being adequately met. (If the child's needs are no met, explain.)						
The re	easonable and prudent parenting standard \square has been \square has not been met.						
develo	hild \square has had \square has not had regular, on-going opportunities to engage in age of opportunities activities.						
stody							
•	pove-named child \square shall be \square shall remain placed in the custody of:						
•	ove-named child \square shall be \square shall remain placed in the custody of:						
•	, a member of the child's extended family. (Complete the placement section below.)						
•	, a member of the child's						
•							
•							

Placement

(It is unlikely that the placement of the child in a QRTP will fall within the ICWA or Tribe's placement preference categories. Therefore, this form assumes the court must make the findings regarding whether there is good cause for the child not to be placed within the placement preferences.)

(1) The cl	preferred placement (complete all subsections) nild is placed, a qualified residential nent program.		
that th	art, after considering evidence and arguments from all parties, finds are is clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to deviate e placement preferences based on one or more of the following erations:		
	The request of one or both of the Indian child's parents, if they attest that they have reviewed the placement options, if any, that comply with the order of preference.		
	The request of the child, if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to understand the decision that is being made.		
	The presence of a sibling attachment that can be maintained only through a particular placement.		
	The extraordinary physical, mental, or emotional needs of the Indian child, such as specialized treatment services that may be unavailable in the community where families who meet the placement preferences live.		
	The unavailability of a suitable placement after a determination by the court that a diligent search was conducted to find suitable placements meeting the preference criteria, but none has been located.		
(Specific)	findings of fact must be written here)		
(3) □ a.	The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child continues to support that the needs of the child cannot be met through placement in a foster family home; placement in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment; and the placement is consistent with the short-term and long-term goals for the child, as specified in the permanency plan for the child.		

		i.	Treatment or service needs are being or will be met in the qualified residential treatment program. The child is expected to need the treatment or services for more months.			
		ii.	The Secretary has made the following efforts to prepare the child to be placed in a family home setting (return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian, or an adoptive parent, or in a foster home):			
		OR				
		pı cl	ne child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment rogram. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the nild does not support continued placement in a qualified residential eatment program.			
9.	The child is 14 years of age or older and the court finds that Secretary had made the following efforts to help the child prepare for the transition from custody to a successful adulthood. (<i>Identify</i>)					
10.	The (Court □ appro	oves and adopts the proposed permanency plan as the plan for			
	perm	anency in the	present matter or □ does not approve the proposed permanency new permanency plan submitted to the Court within 30 days.			
11.	The previous orders of this Court \square shall continue in full force and effect \square except as hereby modified \square are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2255.					
THE	COURT	Γ FURTHER F	INDS:			
_						
THE	COURT	Γ ORDERS:				
_						

THE COURT ORDERS all providers of services including educational services, treatment, education or care of the child and family, even if not specifically referred to herein, to provide information including any and all educational records to the secretary, any entity providing services to the child and family, counsel for the parties including the county or district attorney, appointed CASA, Citizen Review Board members, the court, and each other to the extent needed to ensure the safety of the child, prevent further abuse or neglect, and to provide appropriate treatment, care and services to the child and family. This order encompasses and complies with the provisions of the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (20 U.S.C. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. 99 and the Privacy Rule of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), 45 C.F.R. 164.512(e)(1).

☐ The Secretary ☐ Court Services ☐				
complete reports and submit them to the C	Court by	·		
THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS th	nis matter set for	h	earing	
before \Box the Court \Box the CRB on the	day of		20, at	
: 🗆 a.m. 🗆 p.m.				
IT IS SO ORDERED THIS	day of	, 20		