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**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY, KANSAS**

**IN THE INTEREST OF**

**Name Case No.**

**Year of Birth A ☐ male ☐ female**

**\*INDIAN CHILD WELFARE ACT**

# QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT PROGRAM PLACEMENT PERMANENCY HEARING JOURNAL ENTRY AND ORDER FOR ANOTHER PLANNED PERMANENT LIVING ARRANGEMENT

# (ONLY USE FOR CHLDREN 16 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER)

Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2203(a), 38-2264, 42 U.S.C. 671 *et seq.* and 25 U.S.C. § 1901 *et seq.*

*(Orders pertaining to more than one child must include findings specific to each child listed in the caption.)*

**(*If this is the first order removing custody from a parent or Indian custodian, complete and attach Form 209.*)**

 NOW on this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the above-captioned matters come before the Court **to determine progress being made to achieve the current permanency plan goal(s) of** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

 THE COURT FINDS jurisdiction and venue are proper. Notice to parties, interested parties and those required to receive notice has been given as required by law.

☐The child has been given notice of the time and place of the permanency hearing.

 The Court finds that **☐ each child named above or ☐ the child** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is an Indian child as defined by the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) **☐ the Court has the following reason to know the child is an Indian child:**

 **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**. Copies of the petition, reports, other information concerning the child, and notice of this hearing as required by ICWA have been timely provided to the Tribe. The Tribe has been given a full opportunity to participate in this proceeding.

☐The petitioner appears by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ☐ **County/District Attorney or designee ☐ other** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**.**

☐The child appears **☐ in person, and ☐ not in person, but** by the child guardian *ad litem*, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

☐ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the mother **☐ appears in person *pro se* ☐ appears in person, and through her attorney,** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ **☐ appears not in person, but by and through her attorney** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ **☐ does not appear.**

☐ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the **☐ father ☐ putative father of** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**, ☐ appears in person *pro se* ☐ appears in person, and through his attorney,** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ **☐ appears not in person, but by and through his attorney,** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ **☐ does not appear.**

☐ *(Other parent appearances)*

☐ The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Tribe ☐ **appears by** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**, attorney/representative or ☐ does not appear.**

☐ Interested parties appearing are:

☐ The Secretary appears through:

☐ Also present:

The Court has received and considered evidence.

1. A request to transfer of jurisdiction to the Tribe:

☐ has not been made.

☐ was made on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and the transfer of jurisdiction was declined by the Tribe.

☐ was made on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and the transfer of jurisdiction was denied by the Court because:

☐ the following parent(s) object(s) to the transfer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

☐ after receiving arguments from all parties, the Court finds good cause exists for denying the transfer. *(Document specific findings that good cause exists.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

☐ see findings of fact and conclusion of law in the court’s order filed \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

☐ was made on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and the transfer to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_was granted. See attached Order Transferring Jurisdiction (Form 214).

1. There is clear and convincing evidence that the continued custody of the child by the parent or Indian custodian is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child. *(Provide specific findings.)*

1. (*Select either a., b., or c.)*

☐ a. Appropriate public or private agencies have made **reasonable and active efforts** to assist and support the family to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan.

*(Specify what active efforts have been made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family and to eliminate the need for out of home placement and whether the efforts were successful.)*

**OR**

☐ b. Appropriate public or private agencies have made **reasonable but not active efforts** to assist and support the family to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan.

**OR**

 ☐ c. Appropriate public or private agencies **have not made reasonable or active efforts** to assist and support the family to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan.

1. The progress of the parents or child to achieve the permanency plan goal(s) of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**☐ is ☐ is not** adequate.

1. The child’s needs **☐are** **☐are not** being adequately met.

1. The reasonable and prudent parenting standard **☐ has been ☐ has not been** met.

1. The child **☐ has had ☐ has not had** regular on-going opportunities to engage in age or developmentally appropriate activities.

1. **Custody**

The above named child ☐ **shall be** ☐ **shall remain** placed in the custody of:

☐\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, a parent. This placement is compliant with ICWA.

☐\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, an Indian custodian. This placement is compliant with ICWA.

☐\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, a member of the child’s extended family. *(Complete the placement section below.)*

☐\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, an unlicensed person approved or specified by the Tribe with close emotional ties to the child. *(Complete the placement section below.)*

☐\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, a youth residential or shelter facility approved or specified by the Tribe or operated by an Indian organization. *(Complete the placement section below.)*

☐ The Secretary, if the child is 15 years of age or younger, or 16 or 17 years of age if the child has no identifiable parental or family resources or shows signs of physical, mental or emotional or sexual abuse. *(Complete the placement section below.)*

***(If this is the first order removing custody from a parent or Indian custodian, complete and attach Form 209.)***

**Placement**

*(It is unlikely that the placement of the child in a QRTP will fall within the ICWA or Tribe’s placement preference categories. Therefore, this form assumes the court must make the findings regarding whether there is good cause for the child not to be placed within the placement preferences.)*

**Child is not in a preferred placement** *(complete all subsections)*

(1) The child is placed \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, a qualified residential treatment program.

(2) The court, after considering evidence and arguments from all parties, finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to deviate from the placement preferences based on one or more of the following considerations:

☐ The request of one or both of the Indian child’s parents, if they attest that they have reviewed the placement options, if any, that comply with the order of preference.

☐ The request of the child, if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to understand the decision that is being made.

☐ The presence of a sibling attachment that can be maintained only through a particular placement.

☐ The extraordinary physical, mental, or emotional needs of the Indian child, such as specialized treatment services that may be unavailable in the community where families who meet the placement preferences live.

☐ The unavailability of a suitable placement after a determination by the court that a diligent search was conducted to find suitable placements meeting the preference criteria, but none has been located.

(*Specific findings of fact must be written here*)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(3) **☐** a. The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child **continues** **to support** that the needs of the child cannot be met through placement in a foster family home; placement in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment; and the placement is consistent with the short-term and long-term goals for the child, as specified in the permanency plan for the child.

i. Treatment or service needs are being or will be met in the qualified residential treatment program. The child is expected to need the treatment or services for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ more months.

ii. The Secretary has made the following efforts to prepare the child to be placed in a family home setting *(return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian, or an adoptive parent, or in a foster home)*:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OR**

**☐** b. The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child **does not** **support** continued placement in a qualified residential treatment program.

1. ☐ a. Reintegration **continues to be** a viable goal and:

☐ the child should not be reintegrated until further order of the Court as returning the child to the custody of the parent or Indian custodian is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child.

☐ the child may return home **☐ immediately ☐ with a target date of** \_\_\_\_\_ **day of** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**, 20**\_\_\_\_**, ☐ if the following conditions are met**:

☐ within 30 days, a new plan for reintegration should be prepared and submitted to the Court with measurable goals, objectives and time frames.

☐ the new plan for reintegration shall include a concurrent goal of

☐ adoption (consistent with the ICWA adoptive placement preferences).

☐ permanent custodianship.

☐ placement with a relative.

**OR**

 ☐ b. Reintegration **is no longer** a viable goal as returning the child to the custody of the parent or Indian custodian is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child and *(Check choice(s).)*

☐ the child is in a stable placement with a relative.

☐ either adoption or permanent custodianship might be in the best interests of the child; services set out in the permanency plan necessary for the safe return of the child have been made available to the parent(s) with whom reintegration was planned; the County/District Attorney or designee shall file a pleading to terminate parental rights or a pleading to establish a permanent custodianship within 30 days; a new plan should be prepared and submitted to the Court with measurable goals, objectives and time frames to achieve

 **☐ adoption ☐ permanent custodianship**.

☐ adoption and permanent custodianship have been considered but are not in the child’s best interest at this time, and a new plan should be prepared and submitted to the Court with measurable goals, objectives and time frames to achieve another planned permanent living arrangement of *(Identify)*

1. ☐ The Court finds that at this time the child cannot return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian or an adoptive parent because permanency efforts have been unsuccessful. (*Identify unsuccessful efforts*)
2. ☐ The Court finds the permanency plan to be another planned permanent living arrangement. It continues to not be in the best interest of the child to return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian or an adoptive parent due to the following compelling reasons: (*List findings of fact)*
3. ☐ The Court finds that the Secretary has made the following efforts to help the child prepare for the transition from custody to a successful adulthood. (*List finding of facts)*
4. ☐ The child was provided the opportunity to provide input on the preferred permanency outcome.
5. The previous orders of this Court **☐** **shall continue in full force and effect** ☐ **except as hereby modified** ☐ **are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2255**:

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS:

THE COURT ORDERS:

 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS all providers of servicesincluding educational services, treatment, education or care of the child and family, even if not specifically referred to herein, to provide information including any and all educational records to the secretary, any entity providing services to the child and family, counsel for the parties including the county or district attorney, appointed CASA, Citizen Review Board members, the court, and each other to the extent needed to ensure the safety of the child, prevent further abuse or neglect, and to provide appropriate treatment, care and services to the child and family. This order encompasses and complies with the provisions of the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (20 U.S.C. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. 99 and the Privacy Rule of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), 45 C.F.R. 164.512(e)(1).

☐ The Secretary ☐ Court Services ☐ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ shall complete reports and submit them to the Court by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS this matter set for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ hearing before **☐ the Court ☐ the CRB** on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_:\_\_\_\_ ☐ **a.m ☐ p.m**.

 IT IS SO ORDERED THIS \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.