188.6

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF COUNTY, KANSAS IN THE INTEREST OF Name _____ A \square male \square female Case No. *QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT PROGRAM PLACEMENT PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER BASED ON THE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD **RECOMMENDATIONS HEARING POST-TERMINATION** Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2264 and 42 U.S.C. 671 et seq. CRB Report must be attached (Orders pertaining to more than one child must include findings specific to each child listed in the caption.) NOW on this ______ day of ______, 20_____, the above-captioned matter comes on for consideration of the attached Citizen Review Board permanency hearing recommendations. The Court finds the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) is not applicable. (If there is reason to know the child is an Indian child, use the appropriate ICWA form.) The Court finds termination/relinquishment of parental rights occurred on _____ The child is 14 years of age or older and has been given notice of the time and place of the permanency hearing. THE COURT FURTHER FINDS: 1. a. Appropriate public or private agencies have made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan. b. Appropriate public or private agencies have not made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan. 2. The progress toward achieving the permanency plan goal(s) of _____ \square is \square is not adequate. 3. The child's needs \square are \square are not being adequately met. (If the child's needs are not being met, explain.)

5.	The Court has considered in-state and out-of-state permanent placement options. The child \square is \square is not in out-of-state placement, and such placement \square continues \square does not continue to be appropriate and in the best interest of the child.
6.	The reasonable and prudent parenting standard □ has been □ has not been met
7.	The child \square has had \square has not had on-going opportunities to engage in age of developmentally appropriate activities.
8.	 a. The child is currently placed in a qualified residential treatment program. The ongoing assessment of the strengths and needs of the child continues to support that the needs of the child cannot be met through placement in a fost family home; placement in a qualified residential treatment program provide the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the lear restrictive environment; and the placement is consistent with the short-term and long-term goals for the child, as specified in the permanency plan for the child. i. Treatment or service needs are being or will be met in the qualified residential treatment program. The child is expected to need the treatment or services for more months. ii. The Secretary has made the following efforts to prepare the child to be placed in a family home setting (return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian, or an adoptive parent, or in a foster home):

9.	The Court, having reviewed the file and recommendations of the Citizen Review Board \square approves and adopts the proposed permanency plan as the plan for permanency in the present matter or \square does not approve the proposed permanency plan and orders a new permanency plan submitted to the Court within 30 days.
10.	☐ The above name child shall remain in custody of the Secretary.
11.	The previous orders of this Court \square shall continue in full force and effect \square except as hereby modified \square are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2255.
_	THE COURT ORDERS that the following CRB recommendations, set out in the attached are adopted as the order of the Court: (List the adopted recommendations in full or by the ers corresponding to those in the report.)
comple	☐ The Secretary ☐ Court Services ☐shall ete reports and submit them to the Court by
□ the	THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS this matter set for hearing before Court \(\text{ the CRB} \) on the day of, 20, at:\(\text{ a.m.} \)
	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of, 20