188.4

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF _____ COUNTY, KANSAS IN THE INTEREST OF Case No. _____ Name _____ Year of Birth $A \square$ male \square female *PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER POST-TERMINATION BASED ON THE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD HEARING FOR ANOTHER PLANNED PERMANENT LIVING ARRANGEMENT (ONLY USE FOR CHILDREN 16 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER) Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2264 and 42 U.S.C. 671 et seq. CRB Report must be attached (Orders pertaining to more than one child must include findings specific to each child listed in the caption.) NOW on this ______ day of ______, 20_____, the above-captioned matters comes on for consideration of the attached Citizen Review Board permanency hearing recommendations. THE COURT FINDS jurisdiction and venue are proper. Notice to parties, interested parties and those required to receive notice has been given as required by law. The child is 14 years of age or older and has been given notice of the time and place of the permanency hearing as required by law. The Court finds the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) is not applicable. (If there is reason to know the child is an Indian child, use the appropriate ICWA form.) The Court finds termination/relinquishment of parental rights occurred on ______ THE COURT FURTHER FINDS: 1. a. Appropriate public or private agencies have made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan. b. Appropriate public or private agencies have not made reasonable efforts to accomplish the current permanency goal(s) set out in the permanency plan. 2. The progress toward achieving the permanency plan goal(s) of \square is \square is not adequate.

chi	The Court has considered in-state and out-of-state permanent placement options. The child \square is \square is not in out-of-state placement, and such placement \square continues \square does not continue to be appropriate and in the best interest of the child.					
Th	The reasonable and prudent parenting standard □ has been □ has not been met					
	he child \square has had \square has not had regular, on-going opportunities to engage in age or velopmentally appropriate activities.					
	The Court finds that at this time the child cannot return home, be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian or an adoptive parent because permanency efforts have been unsuccessful. (<i>Identify unsuccessful efforts</i>)					
	The Court finds the permanency plan to be another planned permanent living arrangement. It continues to not be in the best interest of the child to return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative, a legal guardian or an adoptive parent due to the following compelling reasons: (List finding of facts)					
	The Court finds that the Secretary has made the following efforts to help the child prepare for the transition from custody to a successful adulthood.					
	The child was provided the opportunity to provide input on the preferred permanency					

12.	I he above name child shall remain in custody of the Secretary.					
13.	The previous orders of this Court \square shall continue in full force and effect \square except as hereby modified \square are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2255.					
-	THE COURT ORDERS that the are adopted as the order of the ars corresponding to those in the	Court: (List the ad				
	☐ The Secretary ☐ Court Serv					
comple	ete reports and submit them to t	he Court by		·		
	THE COURT FURTHER OR	DERS this matter so	et for	hearing before		
□ the	Court the CRB on the	day of	, 20	, at: 🗆 a.m.		
□ p.m						
	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS	day of		20		